

Remarks

Claim 7 is currently amended. Claims 1-23 remain pending in the Application.

Rejection under 102(e)

Claims 1-23

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected Claims 1-23 under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Fowler et al. (6714977). Applicant has reviewed Fowler et al. and respectfully states that Fowler et al. does not anticipate the present invention for the following rationale.

Applicant respectfully states that Claims 1 and 15 include the features “a receiver system having position data capabilities related to a current physical location of said receiver system.” Support for the Claimed features can be found throughout the Specification including paragraphs 42, 53, 57 and 60-65. For example, in one embodiment, the positioning module is a GPS (Global Positioning System) receiver module.

Applicant respectfully disagrees that Fowler et al. anticipates the features of Claims 1 and 15. The Examiner has stated that the climate bot and net bot are equivalent to the claimed receiver system. However, Applicant does not understand Fowler et al. to teach or anticipate that the climate bot or net bot contain any type of position data capabilities related to a current physical location. Therefore, Applicant does not understand Fowler et al. to teach a receiver system having position data capabilities related to a current physical location of said receiver system as the Examiner has stated.

With respect to Claim 7, Applicant respectfully states that Claim 7 includes the feature “any mobile receiver system at or near the physical location.” Support for the Claimed feature can be found throughout the Specification including Paragraphs 53 and Figure 2.

Applicant respectfully disagrees that Fowler et al. anticipates the features of Claim 7. The Examiner has stated that the climate bot and net bot are equivalent to the claimed receiver system. However, Applicant does not understand Fowler et al. to teach or anticipate that the climate bot or net bot are mobile. Instead, Applicant understands Fowler et al. to teach and anticipate the location of the climate bot or net bot to be fixed in a good location to detect sensor information. Therefore, Applicant does not understand Fowler et al. to teach a mobile receiver system at or near the physical location as the Examiner has stated.

Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that Fowler et al. does not anticipate the present claimed invention as recited in Claims 1, 7 and 15, and as such, Claims 1, 7 and 15 are in condition for allowance. Accordingly, Applicant also respectfully submits that Fowler et al. does not anticipate the present claimed invention as recited in Claims 2-6 which are dependent on an allowable Independent Claim 1, Claims 8-14 which are dependent on an allowable Independent Claim 7 and Claims 16-23 which are dependent on an allowable Independent Claim 15 and that Claims 2-6, 8-14 and 16-23 recite further features of the present claimed invention. Therefore, Applicant respectfully states that Claims 2-6, 8-14 and 16-23 are allowable as pending from allowable base Claims.

Conclusion

In light of the above amendments and remarks, Applicant respectfully requests allowance of Claims 1-23.

The Examiner is invited to contact Applicants' undersigned representative if the Examiner believes such action would expedite resolution of the present application.

Respectfully submitted,
Wagner, Murabito & Hao LLP

Date: 01/18/06



John P. Wagner, Jr.
Reg. No. 35,398

Two North Market Street
Third Floor
San Jose, California 95113
(408) 938-9060